

COMMENTARY

Open Access

A mitochondrial strategy for safeguarding the reprogrammed genome

Alessandro Prigione^{1*} and James Adjaye^{2,3}

Abstract

Genomic aberrations induced by somatic cell reprogramming are a major drawback for future applications of this technology in regenerative medicine. A new study by Ji et al. published in *Stem Cell Reports* suggests a counteracting strategy based on balancing the mitochondrial/oxidative stress pathway through antioxidant supplementation.

Keywords: Antioxidants, Mitochondria, Reprogramming, iPSCs, Genomic aberrations

Commentary

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are common by-products of cellular respiration. They can act as second messengers exerting physiological roles [1]. However, if ROS levels increase beyond a certain threshold, functional oxidative damage to macromolecules can occur, leading to protein, lipid or genomic aberrations and eventually cell death [2]. To preserve genome integrity, cells have developed a fine-tuned machinery to counteract ROS by keeping them in equilibrium with reducing equivalents [1,2]. The maintenance of redox balance is thus critical for cells both in steady states and during adaptations to different conditions. Now, a new study by Ji et al. [3] demonstrates that supporting redox homeostasis is important also during the induction of pluripotency.

The authors detected increased levels of ROS and oxidative DNA damage during the early stages of human retroviral-based reprogramming using four factors (4F: OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, c-MYC), in agreement with previous reports [4,5]. Notably, the concurrent supply of antioxidants (vitamin C or N-acetyl-cysteine, NAC) appeared capable of reducing both ROS and genomic double-strand breaks, resulting in lower apoptotic rates. These effects were not a consequence of altered transgene activity, since antioxidants did not modify the 4F expression or their silencing. Remarkably, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) lines generated with antioxidant supplementation

displayed significantly fewer *de novo* copy number variations (CNVs), i.e. genomic variants that were not already present in the parental fibroblast population. To rule out that the reduction in the number of CNVs was not due to additional non-antioxidant related mechanisms influencing reprogramming, which have been found associated with vitamin C supplementation [6,7], the authors demonstrated that CNVs were similarly lowered by vitamin C and NAC treatment. It must also be noted that culture media typically employed for human reprogramming (e.g. KSR and mTeSR) contains vitamin C, suggesting that in its absence the levels of ROS would be higher. Hence, supporting the redox balance through the addition of reducing molecules may protect the somatic genome, leading to iPSCs with fewer genomic alterations.

Reprogramming somatic cells to pluripotency involves a profound cellular reconfiguration associated with high proliferative rates and a shift towards glycolysis-based metabolism even in the presence of oxygen [8,9], a situation reminiscent of the Warburg effect that occurs upon cancer transformation. Maintaining the redox equilibrium would therefore be essential for cells undergoing such dramatic restructuring. Indeed, tumor cells re-route the energy flux outside the mitochondria and into the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) in order to provide important reducing equivalents and diminish the generation of mitochondrial ROS. In this regard, recent evidence suggests that a central player in cancer is pyruvate kinase isoform M2 (PKM2), increased levels of which lead to higher concentrations of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and enhanced PPP activity [10]. Interestingly, PKM2 and G6P are also

* Correspondence: alessandro.prigione@mdc-berlin.de

¹Max Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC), Robert-Roessle-Str. 10, D-13125 Berlin-Buch, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article




Figure 1 The induction of pluripotency involves the establishment of a cellular state characterized by low levels of oxidative stress. This is accomplished through a global metabolic restructuring which leads to reduced mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and increased energy flux towards glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) (orange arrows). However, this glycolytic shift is not adequately sufficient to prevent the increased leakage of reactive oxygen species (ROS) associated with viral-based four factor (4F) reprogramming. This results in DNA damage that may have detrimental consequences on iPSC functionality (red arrows). The paper by Ji et al. demonstrates that the supplementation with ROS-scavenging molecules provides additional defense against redox imbalance, giving rise to iPSCs bearing fewer genomic aberrations (blue arrows).

up-regulated upon the induction of pluripotency [11,12], indicating that similar mechanisms aiming at maintaining redox homeostasis are also in place during reprogramming. Supporting this idea, increased ROS levels promote the differentiation of stem cells [13] and low ROS levels are a characteristic of undifferentiated pluripotent stem cells [8,14]. However, in agreement with previous observations [4,5], the work of Ji et al. [3] demonstrates that the redox equilibrium is not sufficiently balanced during reprogramming (Figure 1).

Their findings also raise a series of important questions. For example, how is it that somatic-coding mutations are not affected by the introduction of antioxidants? The authors suggest that oxidative DNA lesions might be less error-prone and therefore more easily corrected. Moreover, is it possible to employ additional conditions that potentiate the effects of the antioxidant cocktail? In this regard, hypoxia or the addition of a hypoxia mimetic might be beneficial, given that hypoxia enhances iPSC derivation [15], by inducing a faster glycolytic transition [12]. Likewise, do antioxidants protect against mitochondrial mutations acquired during reprogramming [11]?

Another central issue that remains to be addressed is the relationship between antioxidant supplementation, reprogramming methods and genomic aberrations. Although mutations have been found to occur also using

non-integrating strategies [16], the levels of nuclear and mtDNA alterations may be diminished under these conditions [17]. Indeed, non-integrating episomal plasmids elicit a lower ROS response than viral-based reprogramming [5]. A systematic comparison using various iPSC techniques with and without antioxidant treatment would help to clarify this matter.

Finally, the data by Ji et al. [3] underscores the unique features of *c-MYC* within the 4F cocktail. *c-MYC* is a key inducer of glycolytic reconfiguration [18] but also appears as the major contributor of reprogramming-mediated oxidative stress. In fact, the use of the other three factors did not generate a drastic elevation of ROS nor was their basal level affected by antioxidant supplementation [3]. Nonetheless, genomic aberrations and metabolic conversion can occur also in the absence of *c-MYC* [19,20]. Hence, reprogramming strategies should ideally avoid the inclusion of *c-MYC*, and it remains unclear whether such strategies would also benefit from the addition of antioxidants.

Overall, the work by Ji et al. [3] has relevant implications, as the occurrence of reprogramming-mediated genomic alterations is currently a major obstacle hindering the use of iPSCs in medical applications [21]. Further manipulation of the mitochondrial/oxidative stress pathway may thus pave the way for the development of safer reprogramming approaches.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare no competing financial or commercial interests and acknowledge support from the Fritz Thyssen Foundation (grant A.Z. 10.11.2.160 to A.P.) and the European Union (funding/FP7 (FP7/2007-2013)/ Grant Agreement n° 305299 /AgedBrainSYSBIO to J.A.).

Author details

¹Max Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC), Robert-Roessle-Str. 10, D-13125 Berlin-Buch, Germany. ²Department of Vertebrate Genomics, Molecular Embryology and Aging Group, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany. ³Institute for Stem Cell Research and Regenerative Medicine, Heinrich Heine University, Duesseldorf, Germany.

Received: 28 January 2014 Accepted: 11 March 2014

Published: 29 March 2014

References

1. Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncol J, Cronin MT, Mazur M, Telser J: Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2007, **39**(1):44–84.
2. Finkel T, Holbrook NJ: Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. *Nature* 2000, **408**(6809):239–247.
3. Ji J, Sharma V, Qi S, Guarch ME, Zhao P, Luo Z, Fan W, Wang Y, Mbabaali F, Neculai D, Esteban MA, McPherson JD, Batada NN: Antioxidant supplementation reduces genomic aberrations in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports* 2014, **2**(1):44–51.
4. Esteban MA, Wang T, Qin B, Yang J, Qin D, Cai J, Li W, Weng Z, Chen J, Ni S, Chen K, Li Y, Liu X, Xu J, Zhang S, Li F, He W, Labuda K, Song Y, Peterbauer A, Wolbank S, Redl H, Zhong M, Cai D, Zeng L, Pei D: Vitamin C enhances the generation of mouse and human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010, **6**(1):71–79.
5. Mah N, Wang Y, Liao MC, Prigione A, Jozefczuk J, Lichtner B, Wolfrum K, Haltmeier M, Flottmann M, Schaefer M, Hahn A, Mrowka R, Klipp E, Andrade-Navarro MA, Adjaye J: Molecular insights into reprogramming-initiation events mediated by the OSKM gene regulatory network. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(8):e24351.

6. Wang T, Chen K, Zeng X, Yang J, Wu Y, Shi X, Qin B, Zeng L, Esteban MA, Pan G, Pei D: **The histone demethylases Jhdm1a/1b enhance somatic cell reprogramming in a vitamin-C-dependent manner.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2011, **9**(6):575–587.
7. Stadtfeld M, Apostolou E, Akutsu H, Fukuda A, Follett P, Natesan S, Kono T, Shioda T, Hochedlinger K: **Aberrant silencing of imprinted genes on chromosome 12qF1 in mouse induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Nature* 2010, **465**(7295):175–181.
8. Prigione A, Fauler B, Lurz R, Lehrach H, Adjaye J: **The senescence-related mitochondrial/oxidative stress pathway is repressed in human induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Stem Cells* 2010, **28**(4):721–733.
9. Folmes CD, Nelson TJ, Martinez-Fernandez A, Arrell DK, Lindor JZ, Dzeja PP, Ikeda Y, Perez-Terzic C, Terzic A: **Somatic oxidative bioenergetics transitions into pluripotency-dependent glycolysis to facilitate nuclear reprogramming.** *Cell Metab* 2011, **14**(2):264–271.
10. Gruning NM, Ralser M: **Cancer: sacrifice for survival.** *Nature* 2011, **480**(7376):190–191.
11. Prigione A, Lichtner B, Kuhl H, Struys EA, Wamelink M, Lehrach H, Ralser M, Timmermann B, Adjaye J: **Human induced pluripotent stem cells harbor homoplasmic and heteroplasmic mitochondrial DNA mutations while maintaining human embryonic stem cell-like metabolic reprogramming.** *Stem Cells* 2011, **29**(9):1338–1348.
12. Prigione A, Rohwer N, Hoffmann S, Mlody B, Drews K, Bukowiecki R, Blumlein K, Wanker EE, Ralser M, Cramer T, Adjaye J: **HIF1alpha modulates cell fate reprogramming through early glycolytic shift and upregulation of PDK1-3 and PKM2.** *Stem Cells* 2014, **32**(2):364–376.
13. Yanes O, Clark J, Wong DM, Patti GJ, Sanchez-Ruiz A, Benton HP, Trauger SA, Despons C, Ding S, Siuzdak G: **Metabolic oxidation regulates embryonic stem cell differentiation.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2010, **6**(6):411–417.
14. Armstrong L, Tilgner K, Saretzki G, Atkinson SP, Stojkovic M, Moreno R, Przyborski S, Lako M: **Human induced pluripotent stem cell lines show stress defense mechanisms and mitochondrial regulation similar to those of human embryonic stem cells.** *Stem Cells* 2010, **28**(4):661–673.
15. Yoshida Y, Takahashi K, Okita K, Ichisaka T, Yamanaka S: **Hypoxia enhances the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2009, **5**(3):237–241.
16. Young MA, Larson DE, Sun CW, George DR, Ding L, Miller CA, Lin L, Pawlik KM, Chen K, Fan X, Schmidt H, Kalicki-Veizer J, Cook LL, Swift GW, Demeter RT, Wendl MC, Sands MS, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Townes TM, Ley TJ: **Background mutations in parental cells account for most of the genetic heterogeneity of induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2012, **10**(5):570–582.
17. Cheng L, Hansen NF, Zhao L, Du Y, Zou C, Donovan FX, Chou BK, Zhou G, Li S, Doney SN, Ye Z, Chandrasekharappa SC, Yang H, Mullikin JC, Liu PP: **Low incidence of DNA sequence variation in human induced pluripotent stem cells generated by nonintegrating plasmid expression.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2012, **10**(3):337–344.
18. Miller DM, Thomas SD, Islam A, Muench D, Sedoris K: **c-Myc and cancer metabolism.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2012, **18**(20):5546–5553.
19. Gore A, Li Z, Fung HL, Young JE, Agarwal S, Antosiewicz-Bourget J, Canto I, Giorgetti A, Israel MA, Kiskinis E, Lee JH, Loh YH, Manos PD, Montserrat N, Panopoulos AD, Ruiz S, Wilbert ML, Yu J, Kirkness EF, Izpisua Belmonte JC, Rossi DJ, Thomson JA, Eggan K, Daley GQ, Goldstein LS, Zhang K: **Somatic coding mutations in human induced pluripotent stem cells.** *Nature* 2011, **471**(7336):63–67.
20. Folmes CD, Martinez-Fernandez A, Faustino RS, Yamada S, Perez-Terzic C, Nelson TJ, Terzic A: **Nuclear reprogramming with c-Myc potentiates glycolytic capacity of derived induced pluripotent stem cells.** *J Cardiovasc Transl Res* 2013, **6**(1):10–21.
21. Pera MF: **Stem cells: The dark side of induced pluripotency.** *Nature* 2011, **471**(7336):46–47.

doi:10.1186/2045-9769-3-5

Cite this article as: Prigione and Adjaye: A mitochondrial strategy for safeguarding the reprogrammed genome. *Cell Regeneration* 2014 3:5.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

